R8430 RULES OF ENGAGEMENT (USA, 2000)
(Other titles: Enfer de devoir; Reglas de combate; Reglas de compromiso; Regole d’onore; Rules – Sekunden der Entscheidung)

Credits: director, William Friedkin; writer, Stephen Gaghan; story, James Webb.
Cast: Tommy Lee Jones, Samuel L. Jackson, Kim Delaney
Summary: Military courtroom melodrama set in the contemporary U.S. Colonel Terry Childers (Jackson) is a 30-year Marine veteran: a decorated officer with combat experience in Vietnam, Beirut and Desert Storm. But now, the country he served so well has put him on trial for a rescue mission in Yemen that went terribly wrong. For his attorney, he has chosen Marine Colonel Hayes Hodges (Jones), a comrade-in-arms who owes his life to Childers. Hodges is not the best lawyer in the service, but Childers trusts him as a brother Marine who knows what it’s like to risk death under fire. Bound by duty and friendship, Hodges reluctantly takes the case, even as he begins to doubt the man who saved his life in Vietnam three decades ago.
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